

## NOT AN INAPT CONCLUSION,

a quotation from the the utterance of an out-spoken citizen of the United States—the Rev. T. DeWitt Talmage; which is as follows:—

*"Those who are in editorial chairs and in pulpits may not hold back the truth. King David must be made to feel the reproof of Nathan, and Felix must tremble before Paul, and we may not walk with muffled feet lest we wake up some big sinner! If we keep back the truth what will we do in the day when the LORD rises up in judgment, and we are tried not only for what we have said but for what we have declined to say?"*

*"In unrolling the scroll of PUBLIC WICKEDNESS I first find incompetency for office. If a man struggle for an official position for which he has no qualification, and win that position, he commits A CRIME against GOD and against society! It is no sin for me to be ignorant of medical science, but if, ignorant of medical science, I set myself up among professional men and trifle with the lives of people, then the charlatanism becomes positive knavery. It is no sin for me to be ignorant of machinery, but if, knowing nothing about it, I attempt to take a steamer across to Southampton, and through darkness and storm I hold the lives of hundreds of passengers, then all who are slain by that shipwreck may hold me accountable.*

*"We have had judges of courts who have given sentence to criminals in such inaccuracy of phraseology that the criminal at the bar has been more amused at the stupidity of the bench than alarmed at the prospect of his own punishment.*

*"I ARRAIGN INCOMPETENCY FOR OFFICE AS ONE OF THE GREAT CRIMES OF THIS DAY IN PUBLIC PLACES."*

---

## POSTSCRIPT.

---

From the intimations I have received as to the demand for this work, I have felt myself warranted in having an edition issued very much larger than is usual, in this Dominion, for works of this class. If, notwithstanding this fact, the present edition should be soon exhausted, as it now seems probable that it will be, and the issuing of a second edition should become advisable, I propose, in addition to discussing all further Canadian Constitutional cases that may have been decided in the Supreme Court of