

the mode of teaching in the University, I addressed, by letter, several gentlemen who have been connected with Trinity College, and I forwarded to each of them a list of questions, to which I requested candid and plain answers. The following are the questions and answers, from which you may form your own opinion, as to the mode of imparting religious instruction to young men in Trinity College.

1. Was the attendance on the lectures on catechism compulsory?

2. Did the Provost at each lecture *dictate* questions and answers from his own manuscript?

3. Did the students write both questions and answers as he dictated them?

4. Were the students expected on the next lecture day to read the answers as the Provost had dictated them?

5. Did you ever know the Provost to lend his manuscript to a student to correct his notes taken down at lecture?

6. Are there any copies of the manuscript thus corrected handed down from class to class? And is the book familiarly known among the students as "The Provost's Catechism?"

7. Did the Provost ever express his disapproval of the use of these note books?

8. Are you aware whether a proposition to publish the manuscript was ever made by any one of the students, and what was the Provost's reason for disapproving of its publication?

The following answers are from a layman residing in the diocese of Toronto. The answers are numbered to correspond with the questions.

ANSWER 1.—Attendance on the lectures is fully as compulsory as on any other lecture prescribed.

ANS. 2.—Yes; it is the Provost's regular mode of proceeding to dictate questions and answers.

ANS. 3.—No; that would be impossible at the rate the Provost is accustomed to go on. One of the first things a student does after entering is (on advice) to secure a copy of the manuscript, which invariably corresponds, almost