

I never made that motion, nor did Dr. Dolbow ever second it. As a matter of fact, no one moved the adoption of the minutes; they were simply read.

The last paragraph on page 24, besides being bad French, is incorrect. It ought to read thus: "The Treasurer's report submitted to the Board, was unanimously adopted on a motion by Dr. de Martigny, seconded by Dr. Laurendeau. This report, if it is to agree with the original, needs to be corrected as follows:

Page 28.—In the column of "Receipts" the number \$3090.00 is repeated without necessity. After the item "fees" it ought to be \$3344.30, instead of \$3344.00. After the item "Fines", it should be \$269.90 and not \$269.00.

Page 31.—In the fourth paragraph Dr. Jobin is stated to have made the motion for the formation of the Committee on Regulations; whereas Dr. L. J. O. Simis was the mover.

Page 35.—In the sixth paragraph I am made to take part in a discussion on the respective merits of the candidates for the registrarship. I took no part in such a discussion, because no discussion of the kind took place.

Page 38.—The second, third and fourth paragraphs do not give one a correct idea of what took place at the last meeting of the Board. They render but scant justice to Doctors McDonald, Paquin and Jobin. They are made to appear in a rather humiliating light, compared to Dr. Boucher. And what has become of the words of Dr. Brochu, which certainly were important enough to appear, for they were a complete explanation of the attitude taken by the majority of the Governors regarding the nomination of the Registrar.

The predominante quality in an official report is impartiality.

I move, seconded by Dr. Catellier, that Dr. Brochu's words be added to that part of our report. Carried.

As Dr. Brochu was not present when Dr. Jobin finished speaking, it was not until the afternoon that he gave in a summary of his remarks on the nomination of the Registrar.