

Some Hon. Members: Shame, shame.

Mr. Taylor: That is where they would lead us. They do not know where they are going but that is where they would take us.

This Government is looking ahead. We know where we are going and what we are trying to do. We are trying to make Canada a place which has no debt and a balanced budget so that our people can keep more of the money in their own pockets and not have so much taken off their pay cheques. I look forward to the day when Canada as a united country will be happy right from the Arctic to the 49th and from the Pacific to the Atlantic with people earning their living and the Government only looking after those who need help—not the rich.

An Hon. Member: What about the banks?

Mr. Taylor: It makes me laugh when I hear members of the Liberal Party and the New Democratic party talk about helping the rich. Who is it who wants to help the rich?

Some Hon. Members: The Tories.

Ms. Copps: You do.

Ms. Mitchell: What about the oil companies and the banks?

Mr. Taylor: This program will help the poor and not the rich. The rich are part of this country, too.

Ms. Mitchell: Help the banks.

Mr. Taylor: I do not criticize the rich. Most of them got rich through hard work. Now, members of the NDP want to take their money away from them.

Ms. Mitchell: Give it to the banks.

Mr. Taylor: I want to get the country to a place where we can earn our keep and where we will have more rich people. I will not hurt the poor. This Bill does not hurt the poor. It takes from the rich and adds to the poor.

Mr. Lapierre: Not at all.

Mr. Taylor: I wish Hon. Members opposite would get that into their heads.

Mr. Lapierre: Read the Bill.

Mr. Taylor: It takes from the rich and adds to the poor.

[*Translation*]

Mr. Malépart: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The Hon. Member for Montreal-Sainte-Marie (Mr. Malépart) on a point of order.

Mr. Malépart: I will let the Hon. Member put his translation phone on but I am afraid he is not referring to the right legislation. The Bill that robs the poor to give to the rich—

Time Allocation

[*English*]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The Hon. Member for Bow River has the floor on debate.

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Speaker, I wish the Hon. Member opposite would read the Bill, in his own language, if he likes—in French or English. If he did so he would definitely see that we are paying a greater amount to poor families with children than we are to rich families with children. That is what hurts Hon. Members opposite. They keep talking about hurting the rich. They are trying to deceive the poor. That is what Hon. Members opposite are trying to do. The last Hon. Member who spoke, whom I respect highly, was saying that we are helping the wealthy. That is completely silly. This Bill will raise today's maximum of \$384 to \$524 by 1989 for the poor—not for the rich. Why do Members opposite try to deceive the people out there when they talk about the time allocation motion?

When I was in England with a delegation I asked Labour Members and Government Members if they agreed with the policy of the mother Government. What do they do in England? Almost every Bill which comes in has written on it: "This Bill will have 'x' number of days for debate." I said to a Labour Member of Parliament, since he sits in opposition: "Do you support that measure?" He replied: "Certainly. Parliament has to make progress. We have to deal with things." We do not want repetition after repetition after repetition as we have heard in the debate thus far.

An Hon. Member: Could you repeat that?

Mr. Taylor: Last Friday, because they were so repetitive, they ran out of Members to speak on the Bill and they had to adjourn the House.

Mr. Tobin: You have not had an original thought in ten years.

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Speaker, we want a reasonable time for debate. I would like to see the Government bring in a policy by which every Bill has a set number of days for debate so that we can debate measures fully and thoroughly, but not repeatedly and repeatedly.

● (1240)

Those Hon. Members talk about helping the rich with capital gains. I wish they would go out to the west, to some of the farm homes which I visit. The only nest-egg those farmers have is the land they have worked on for 50 years. That is their only nest-egg. The Government is saying to them, "When you sell your nest-egg at the age of 65 or 70 years, you can keep it all. We are not going to grab part of it because you have been paying taxes all through the years".

Ms. Mitchell: You'll take away from their grandchildren, though, won't you?