S.O. 43

the Progressive Conservatives were in power, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Parkdale-High Park (Mr. Flis):

That the Canadian government refer to the provisions of Section 92, Subsection 10, paragraph (c) of the British North America Act and declare the oil sector to be for the general advantage of Canada, thus allowing the federal government to act in the interest of all Canadians.

Madam Speaker: The House has heard the motion of the hon, member. Under the provisions of Standing Order 43, this motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

[English]

NATIONAL ENERGY PROGRAM

CALL FOR ABOLITION—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Gordon Taylor (Bow River): Madam Speaker, I rise on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity under the provisions of Standing Order 43.

Whereas the Canadian Association of Oilwell Drilling Contractors, in their convention, recited a litany of negative statistics arising out of the National Energy Program, including the loss of 131 oil rigs that are leaving or have left Canada and that are worth \$1.2 billion, with an economic activity loss to Canada of \$2 billion, therefore I move, seconded by the hon. member for Edmonton East (Mr. Yurko):

That this House urges the Liberal government to stop using the National Energy Program as a smokescreen for political purposes and scrap the National Energy Program in the interest of Canadian unity.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

ENERGY

DISCLOSURE OF TAX MEASURES—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Len Gustafson (Assiniboia): Madam Speaker, I rise to move a motion under the provisions of Standing Order 43. In view of the fact that the government's oil and gas policies, especially the recent purchase of Petrofina, will place an additional tax burden on all Canadians, not only oil and gas users, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Red Deer (Mr. Towers):

That in future, before burdening Canadians any further, the whole issue of Lalonde levies, and Trudeau taxes in the energy field be openly and honestly divulged to Parliament, and not introduced through the back door.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

• (1410)

NATIONAL ENERGY PROGRAM

CALL FOR RE-NEGOTIATION WITH ALBERTA-MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Jack Shields (Athabasca): Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity. Whereas the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources' (Mr. Lalonde) continued stubbornness and inflexibility at the negotiating table have caused a reduction of Alberta crude oil delivery to eastern Canada, and whereas the contemplated federal tax will increase the cost of gasoline at the pumps and will serve only to subsidize the cost of imported foreign crude, increasing the flow of Canadian dollars out of our country, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Bow River (Mr. Taylor):

That this House direct the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources to end this ridiculous deadlock immediately by putting a new energy proposal forward to the province of Alberta so that Canadian money will remain in Canada to develop our vast energy potential, thereby securing Canada's self-sufficiency in oil by the 1990s.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

ENERGY

ALBERTA CUTBACK IN OIL PRODUCTION—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Maurice Harquail (Restigouche): Madam Speaker, I rise under Standing Order 43 on a matter of urgent and regrettable necessity. In view of the action of the Premier of Alberta reducing oil production and thereby cutting off millions of Canadians from their natural resource, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Madawaska-Victoria (Mr. Corbin):

That this House condemns the reprehensible action of the Alberta government which has shown that one part of this country has the ability to hold all Canadians up to a form of ransom.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.