

SUPPLY—PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT IN THE NORTHWEST—*Con.**Schaffner, F. L. (Souris)—Con.*

are scattered over very many hundred miles—2555. Yet that country is deprived of a man in the council to represent our case as it should be represented—2556-7.

Sproule, T. S. (East Grey)—2275.

We are face to face with a most extraordinary state of affairs in this parliament—2275. Because of the unpopularity of the measure and because they dare not ask the Governor General to appoint a new minister; we are justified in drawing attention to the fact that the government is not acting constitutionally—2277. I ask the ruling of the Speaker if Fitzpatrick has not gone beyond the bounds 'deliberately and of set purpose'—2570. I have never denied or attempted to deny that I did it nor do I make any apology for doing it—2590. I am not here to-day to deny that petitions may have come in on the other side from the province of Quebec—2591. If this measure results in arousing passions and creating hard feeling, upon them above all others must the responsibility rest—2592.

SUPPLY—PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT IN THE NORTHWEST—DATE OF SECOND READING—2022.

Foster, Hon. Geo. E. (North Toronto)—2022.

Asks the probable date at which the second reading of the Autonomy Bill will be taken up—2022.

Laurier, Rt. Hon. Sir Wilfrid (Prime Minister)—2022.

Not this day but I think I can inform the House early next week—2022.

SUPPLY—PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT IN THE NORTHWEST—PERSONAL EXPLANATION—2043.

Borden, R. L. (Carleton, Ont.)—2043.

I wish to inquire of the government whether or not there are to be any changes made in the Bills—2403. I had no intention of absenting myself from the city of Ottawa up to four o'clock of the afternoon of last Wednesday—2044. I think it is due to myself to make this explanation—2045. I don't think there is much difference between Laurier and myself regarding the practice—2047.

Fielding, Hon. Wm. S. (Minister of Finance)—2046.

I shall endeavour to have it produced before the second reading of the Bill is proceeded with—2046.

Foster, Hon. Geo. E. (North Toronto)—2046.

There has been legislation in various years, adding to the provincial subsidies—2046.

SUPPLY—PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT IN THE NORTHWEST—PERSONAL EXPLANATION—*Con.**Laurier, Rt. Hon. Sir Wilfrid (Prime Minister)—2045.*

I think we can make allowance for the newspaper men in their desire to give the latest news—2045. With regard to the information asked for by Borden, I shall endeavour to comply with his request—2046. So far as my information goes and I think it is accurate, that is not the English practice—2047.

White, Hon. Peter (North Renfrew)—2046.

It would be desirable that a copy of the Bill should be also at the time before the House—2046. I think the Bill itself should then be in the hands of hon. members, so that they might be seized of its contents—2047.

SUPPLY—PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT IN NORTHWEST—PRINTING THE BILLS—1754.

Fitzpatrick, Hon. Charles (Minister of Justice)—1755.

The Bills were placed in the hands of the clerk of the House on Thursday last—1755.

Haggart, Hon. John G. (South Lanark)—1754.

I would ask Laurier when the Bills establishing the provinces of Saskatchewan and Alberta will be printed?—1754-5.

Laurier, Rt. Hon. Sir Wilfrid (Prime Minister)—1755.

I expect they will be printed to-day or tomorrow—1755.

SUPPLY—PROVINCIAL STOCKS AS TRUSTS IN GREAT BRITAIN—9540.

Borden, R. L. (Carleton, Ont.)—9540.

Asks for correspondence; the difficulty is that this government not the Imperial government exercises disallowance of Provincial Acts—9540. Would like government to state at what conclusion they have arrived—9541.

Laurier, Rt. Hon. Sir Wilfrid (Prime Minister)—9540.

We have had correspondence on the subject—9540. I think the correspondence took place before we came into office—9541.

SUPPLY—PURCHASE OF MILITIA CAMP AT KENTVILLE, N.S.—6656.

Bennett, W. H. (East Simcoe)—6658.

Who appealed to Judge Burbidge?—6658. I heard the statement made to which I refer, and so I asked who had appealed to Judge Burbidge—6661. This must have been pretty exceptional land, with all due deference to the country, and Borden does not contradict it—6666. Borden should endeavour to let us know what made these gentlemen wake up so suddenly—6667. If Mr. Crawley's judgment is good, I do not assume it is going to be appealed against—6668. I know nothing about the merits of this case, but I do remember the Lévis case—6669.