

mind is the measure of man, woman is the measure of mind. Has not George Eliot told us that "love cannot be fed on learning?" Does not this prove that woman cannot be brought to a high intellectuality? Against the argument based on scientific authority we place the greater authority of the world's literature and history. When has the world ever produced a female Raphael, or a female Newton, or a female Shakespeare, or, even in the line where one might expect her to be supreme, a female Cicero or Demosthenes? We are told that "the hand that rocks the cradle rules the world." But this refers to the hand and not to the head of woman. Moreover if this did apply to mind no one will argue that because the hand of woman rules it is larger than that of man. This sally managed to work up a spontaneous outburst of silent applause, amidst which the fair speaker resumed her seat.

The seconder of the affirmative wished to traverse the speech just delivered; if indeed a series of questions deserved the name of a speech. Its closing paragraph reminded one of the cardinal weakness of the male mind, sweeping in its generalisation, but devoid of the detailed accuracy of woman. Whoever rocks the cradle by hand? Every woman knows how to rock the cradle by foot. Now if the foot of woman be so great, what of the head? It is well enough to ask a boy, "what is the chief end of man?" But the chief end of woman is the head, and the head she will be. The quotation from Eliot is a weapon turning two ways, for it shows that woman is so well developed that men's learning can add but little. For have we not found that a woman's love lessens as she advances in male-learning? The failure of woman to overtake men had been pointed out; but it is forgotten that men had the start at first. Woman did not appear on the scene till later—give her time. Even now she has produced a great poet. We speak of the genius of Browning—he had a good genius in his wife. What could be more womanly or more spirited than her "Lady's Yes?" [A harsh voice from a post-mortem, "The Everlasting No."] As to literary authority we are content with Sir Edwin Arnold's dictum, "The thoughts ye cannot stay with brazen chains, a girl's hair lightly binds." [Loud cheers.]

This proved a conclusive, but not a concluding speech, and overproved the negatives. The debate dragged along but after these stirring addresses all seemed tame. Attitudes which they managed to strike; latitudes wide of the mark; platitudes which could not strike any mark—these were plentiful. The negatives recovered after a time but grandiose generalities and plausible puellalities\* formed the bulk of the speeches which filled up the time till the

judge intimated that the points which were being made were like those of geometry, presumably having position but certainly without magnitude. In the scene that ensued the judge soon took the floor for a motion of a vigorous nature reached the table—he retired hastily declaring that as a motion to adjourn had prevailed he had been prevailed upon not to adjourn his motion. The Treasurer was instructed to invest the balance of the society's funds, \$1.43, in chocolates and the meeting adjourned to meet at the call of the chair. We await eagerly the decision of the Levana Society as a representative institution on the live issue which occupied its attention throughout the lengthy and crowded session. We understand that the judge is now convalescent and the furniture repaired.

### COLLEGE NOTES.

On Sunday, Feb. 18th, Rev. S. Bland, of Cornwall, and on Sunday, Feb. 25th, Rev. W. W. Carson, of Detroit, delivered Nos. 2 and 3 of the Sunday afternoon Addresses. The JOURNAL leaves the publishing of these Addresses to the syndicate.

On account of lack of space we have been compelled to hold over a most interesting account of the much appreciated lectures given during the Conference by Professors Watson, Dyde, Shortt and Milligan.

J. Johnston was appointed to represent Queen's at the McGill dinner.

The debate between '94 and '95 will come off a week from to-night. Messrs. Gandier and Lowe will represent '94.

A. J. McNeil, '95, and A. D. McNeil, '97, left for home last week on account of the serious illness of their mother.

Several students in this University would like\* to know if some means could not be found whereby at least 40 percent. of the dust could be removed from the seats in Convocation Hall. In the gallery especially the dust of centuries seemed to repose in an eternal calm, and to the students who occupied it last Sunday afternoon, it was, to say the least, uncomfortable and annoying. Certain is it that the students did their share to remove a considerable amount of the dust upon their clothing; nevertheless, what remains is not a little.

To all whom it may concern: Know all men by these presents—for that matter all women also,—that Mr. Samuel Alfred Mitchell, Business Manager of Queen's University JOURNAL, in as much as he is an Honor student in Mathematics and intends graduating in the Spring, will be, as examinations draw nigh, increasingly busy preparing for the said dread ordeal. He, therefore, begs us to intimate to all delinquent subscribers that they will gain his eternal gratitude by straightway, presently, forth-

\* Puellalities, "It is the sign of a master mind that he makes language bend before him."—PROF. CAPTON ON CARLYLE.