

JUDGE HODGINS' REPORT.

It was suggested by Drs. H. J. Hamilton, Connell, Walters and Dale that an evening session be held to consider the report of the Commissioner on Medical Education. At the said session the following resolutions were approved of as setting forth the views of the Medical Council in brief forms:

Moved by Drs. J. MacCallum and Wickens: "This Council approves of the recommendations of the Commission with regard to the establishment of an institute of physical therapy in Toronto, London and Kingston, but does not agree with the proposal that these institutions be placed under the care of the Department of Physics of any university, but of the Department of Therapeutics."

Moved by Drs. Ferguson and King: "That this Council expresses its satisfaction with the definition of the practice of medicine recorded in the report of the Commission on page 66, paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, to the end of the words 'shall not be within the above provision.'"

Moved by Dr. J. MacCullum: "That Council approve of the amendment of its powers of discipline as stated in the report, viz., bottom paragraph of page 66."

Moved by Drs. Ferguson and Cruickshank: "That the Council approves of recommendations Nos. 6, 7 and 8, page 72. This Council does not approve of the appointment of a medical director or taxing officer, as suggested in clause 13 or in the body of the report."

(Note.—These sections refer to osteopaths, chiropractors, and drugless healers; that no one should practise without the Council license; and that religious bodies should enjoy no right to act as healers for gain.)

Moved by Drs. MacCallum and Cruickshank: "This Council, while approving of the recommendation of the Commissioner to remodel the composition, desires to emphasize to the Legislature the fact that the movement for remodelling the Council originated within the Council itself in 1912, and at the request of the Government of that time, was not proceeded with. The Council regards with apprehension the proposal that the members should be elected from the profession at large—a proposal emanating from a seeming parallel with the Law Society benchers. We are informed, and believe, that the practical result has been that no meeting of the benchers is attended by more than half of these elected members, and of these the majority from Toronto. We regard the method of election as proposed by the Council of 1912 as more equitable and more likely to be followed by good results. We believe the plan then proposed by the Council will meet with the approval of an elective assembly such as the Ontario Legislature."