

and Wexford Railway Company. Mr. Justice Lawrence said that as far as he knew the point had never been decided. He founded his decision upon the dicta of the several judges in the case of *Foulkes v. The Metropolitan District Railway*, one of the cases quoted, and it also came under the principle laid down by Lord Justice Blackburn in the case of *Marshall v. The York and Newcastle Railway Company*. The right of a passenger was to be carried safely, and it did not depend upon his contract with the company. This was not a question whether the plaintiff was travelling fraudulently or not. The company accepted him as a passenger, and he would have paid his fare or had a ticket given him when at the other end. His judgment must be for the plaintiff, on the ground that he was received as a passenger, and the railway company therefore had a duty cast upon them to carry him safely, independent of whether he made a contract with them for a ticket or not.—*Law Journal*.

Reviews and Notices of Books.

The Monthly Law Digest and Reporter, containing a complete digest of all the decisions of the month relating to Mercantile Law, the Law of Corporations, Evidence, Torts, Patent, Copyright, Constitutional, Criminal, and other branches of law of general interest, etc. By F. Longueville Snow. Montreal: A. Periard, Law Publisher, 1892.

We have received the initial number of this digest. The proposed object is very commendable; but if it is intended to be a continued publication and useful to the profession in Ontario, it will be necessary that more attention be paid to the decisions of the courts of this Province. Less than four per cent. are Ontario cases, and even where these are given no reference is made—when the case is not yet reported—to the page of an Ontario legal journal whence it could easily be traced. There are a number of clerical errors which, perhaps, in a monthly work of this kind, are not of so much importance and will probably become less as time goes on.

An article embodying the decision on the relation between electric railways and telephones, so interesting that we may insert it later, is taken without acknowledgment from *The Central Law Journal*. This is either forgetfulness or an inadequate appreciation of *meum and tuum*.

Correspondence.

REVISED STATUTES CORRIGENDA.

To the Editor of THE CANADA LAW JOURNAL:

SIR,—I have lately had occasion to make marginal references in a set of the Revised Statutes of Ontario, 1887, to the amendments made thereto since that year, and I thought it might be opportune, now that our law-makers have just