THE MAN, THE METHOD OR THE HIVE

A Reply to Samuel Simmins—The Best Hive for Bee-Keeping on This Continent

By J. E. Hand.

In an article in the January number of the C.B.J., Mr. Samuel Simmins of Sussex, England, asks the question, "What is wrong with American and Canadian Bee-keeping?" and then deliberately proceeds to answer the question to his entire satisfaction, as well as to the discomfiture of American bee-keepers. When I say "Americans" I mean Canadians also, for our cousins across the border are just as much Americans as though they were residents of the United States. Mr. Simmins begins with a fusillade of random shots at long range, aimed at American methods, and appliances; later on, he asks for more light upon the subject, and finally winds up a rather desultory argument, with an exhortation in favor of colossal hives as a remedy for existing evils in American methods.

A noticeable feature of the article in question, is its glaring inconsistencies, as well as its lack of sound logic. For instance, he says: "the Langstroth frame is too shallow for wintering in all cold climates, either indoors, or out. It is too shallow for best results in tropical and semi-tropical regions, hence the natural conclusion is, it is too small for the honey season in any locality." Later on, he makes a statement to the effect that the Laugstroth frame is nowhere, compared with the 16 by 10 inch frame that he has recommended for more than 30 years. If the above deductions are correct, a vote of thanks is certainly due to Mr. Simmins for pointing out existing errors in American methods and appliances. But, to investigate. The dimensions of the Langstroth frame are

9½" by 17½", and it contains 157.70 square inches of surface; while the Simmins frame is 16 by 10 inches, and contains 160 square inches of surface, making a difference of 2.30 square inches in favor of the Simmins frame. Now I am not a little surprised that Mr. Simmins should think even for a moment that a mere matter of 2.30 inches per frame can make all the difference between success and failure in honey production; surely this is straining at a gnat with a vengeance that is new to American beer keepers.

It is my eandid opinion that Mr. Simsins will find it-up hill business to awaken anything like a lively discussion upon the hive question with American bee-keepers, for the very good reason that we have come to recognize the fact that it is the "location, the man, and the method," in the order named, and not the hive, that makes for success in honey production. I would not take the time to reply to the article in question were it not for the knowledge that it will have a tendency to mislead beginners into believing that the hive is the whole thing, and that successful bee-keeping is dependent upon the use of a certain form of hive and frame.

No one can make a greater mistake than to suppose that the kind of hive can have any bearing upon successful honey production, except in so far as economy of manipulation and uniformity are concerned. The idea that a certain form of hive or frame vill give vastly superior results in wintering, or in honey production, is no longer given credence by progressive bee-keepers in America; this is another indication of progress, and reform. Bees are no respectors of hives; they have the faculty of adapting themselves to their surroundings to a degree that is truly remarkable, and other things being equal, will store as much honey in one hive as they will in another.

From this point of v that aside from the p and utility, above me is practically as good a as the queen is given develop her fertility to pacity during the Whether room is given frame at a time, or all whether it is given by shallow divisions, is me convenience, and econo tion, and will have bu upon the honey crop. is looking for tangible provement in American bee-keeping methods, he magnifying glass to find bee-keeping is in the mi advancement and reform the history of the art; in bees by selection in b ing the attention of Am adian bee-keepers as nev is evident from the fact standing there are scores ers scattered over the co whom rear thousands of c but few, if any, are able demand for queens.

To the American hone is not so much a question cure a good crop of hone turn it into cash to the Co-operation, wherever pr knowledged to be the corr the market problem, and ing rapidly developed in Another indication of prothe part of American and keepers is the fact that th to realize that uniformity appliances, is of vastly me than minor items, choosin abandon pet hobbies, that little bearing upon successi duction, for the sake of good to the greatest numb