

the spongy or upper soil is gone and the water running over bare grounds results in too much at one time and not enough in another to the great injury in many instances of manufacturing interests.

Mr. Kelly does not seem to wish to be unreasonable, but apparently he is only acquainted with one side of the controversy. He suggests that a Limit Holder might be given land in one place to compensate him for that taken from him in another and this suggestion is evidently made in a spirit of fair play, but how absurd it would be to take property adjacent to a market away and substitute for it land which is possibly near no available market, or take another instance, does Mr. Kelly mean that after a man has expended many thousands and perhaps hundreds of thousands of dollars in improvements and establishing his business that he should be told to move on and leave these