

S.O. 21

OLYMPIC GAMES

DEMAND FOR PASSAGE OF LOTTERY FUNDING LEGISLATION

Mr. Dave Dingwall (Cape Breton-East Richmond): Madam Speaker, yesterday it was reported in the Ottawa *Citizen* that the President of the Canadian Olympic Association, Mr. Roger Jackson, is "fed up" with the Progressive Conservative MPs—

Mr. Lewis: He got hit by an oar.

Mr. Dingwall:—whom he blames for delaying passage of the Athletic Contests and Events Pools Act. As Hon. Members are aware, particularly the 20 Conservative MPs from the Province of Alberta, the Calgary Olympics Games are five short years away. With the announcement of the Sports Pool in 1981, we saw the federal Government making a substantial commitment involving upwards of \$200 million. As all Hon. Members are also aware, revenues from this Pool would replace funds previously available from Loto Canada, which the short-lived Conservative Government handed over to the Provinces in 1979.

It is now time to reach an agreement that the legislation be completed in one day.

Mr. Lewis: Call it.

Mr. Dingwall: It is deplorable that the Conservatives are trying to make political gain at the expense of amateur athletics and, in particular, at the expense of the Province of Alberta. I therefore urge that all Conservatives—

Mr. Lewis: Call it.

Mr. Dingwall:—particularly those from the Province of Alberta, halt their political gamesmanship and allow the legislation to be completed in one day.

Some Hon. Members: Hear, hear!

* * *

● (1410)

CANADIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION

PRESIDENT'S STATEMENT ON RATINGS AND REVENUES

Mr. Gordon Towers (Red Deer): Madam Speaker, I could not believe my eyes this morning when I read in *The Globe and Mail* the remarks attributed to the President of the CBC, Pierre Juneau. The news story stated that Mr. Juneau shrugged his shoulders and dismissed complaints that the public network is losing viewers, and millions of dollars. Mr. Juneau had an excellent teacher, and he is an apt pupil in the art of shrugging. That is no excuse for his actions.

This year the CBC will receive \$820 million from the public purse, an increase of \$82.6 million from last year. The dollars go up and the viewers go down. If he is not deterred by this jarring prospect, I am, as are millions of Canadians.

If Mr. Juneau is not interested in ratings and revenues, why not vacate the competitive TV and radio coverage areas altogether, leaving them to the private operators, and concentrate on expanding or improving service in the remote and forgotten areas in this vast country and, in the process, cut drastically the tax dollars required to keep the Corporation afloat.

* * *

NUCLEAR ARMAMENTS

ANXIETY ABOUT CRUISE MISSILE TESTING

Mr. Bill Yurko (Edmonton East): Madam Speaker, the extensive revulsion against the testing of the Cruise missile in Canada is an act of great symbolism. It is symbolic of the apprehension and fear of nuclear annihilation.

This anxiety, this fear, this danger, come not from delivery systems such as trucks, ships, submarines, airplanes, rockets, space ships, short and long-range missiles, or even Cruise missiles, most of which were developed through joint international co-operation. Canada has enjoyed virtually unlimited access to American technology. This fear and anxiety result from nuclear warheads, fission and fusion, which have now multiplied unchecked in an almost crazy, insane, mad progression. This progression must be checked and reversed. Summit level meetings are vital and mandatory.

The Cruise missile is a highly sophisticated delivery system. It represents the dawn of a new age of robotics which will eventually be used in space exploration. This new technology is so vast, and potentially so rewarding, that Canada should not hesitate to join in partnership with the U.S. in its development and testing, and at the same time fulfil our NATO commitments.

The Cruise missile is a means of destruction when it carries a nuclear warhead. The task is to do away with nuclear warheads and their deployment.

All technological development can be used for good or evil, for construction or destruction. The choice is always man's.

Madam Speaker: Order, please.

* * *

PARLIAMENT

PARLIAMENTARIANS FOR WORLD ORDER—CONDEMNATION OF
EXPULSION

Hon. Warren Allmand (Notre-Dame-de-Grâce-Lachine East): Madam Speaker, during December, 1982, the Inter-Parliamentary Advisory Council met and expelled the Parliamentarians for World Order as a parliamentary association. They met on that occasion without notice or invitation to the Parliamentarians for World Order, and did not advise them of their decision until February of this year. On that occasion that Advisory Council was made up of eight Members of