

Industrial Relations

we are doing the job we ought to do it properly and that the provision for annual holidays with pay should be of the order of two weeks' vacation after one year. This would require the reconsideration of changes in just two of the clauses of the bill to accomplish the change which we feel ought to be made. I therefore move, seconded by my colleague the hon. member for Comox-Alberni (Mr. Barnett):

That Bill No. 16 be not now read a third time but that it be referred back to the committee of the whole house for the purpose of reconsidering clauses 4 (2) and 7 (b) thereof.

In keeping with what I believe has been established by the Chair as good practice, I did not put any of the details in for the moment, but hon. members will be quite clear as to what it means. The two sub-clauses referred to are the subclauses that deal with the question as to when two weeks' holidays with pay become effective. The house should have this opportunity to vote on the desirability of changing the bill so that the two weeks' vacation with pay will be effective after one year's employment.

Mr. Speaker: Is the house ready for the question?

Some hon. Members: Yes.

Mr. Starr: Mr. Speaker, may I rise again to say very briefly what I said in the committee stage—

Mr. Speaker: I am sorry to interrupt the minister but I am advised by the Clerk that having gone as far as having read the amendment moved by the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles) in putting the question to the house it is not in order to speak further on the motion.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, could we not agree to allow the minister to speak for a few minutes? The minister's argument is a poor one and we know what he is going to say but we would like to hear it anyway.

Mr. Speaker: By leave of the house?

Mr. Pickersgill: We all like the minister.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Starr: I just want to repeat what I said at the time when the amendment was introduced in committee. All the aspects of this bill were carefully studied by the government. It was felt that we were introducing an entirely new piece of labour legislation, one that has not been in existence in the federal field previously and that it was designed in advance of five other provinces that have existing legislation on this particular aspect of the matter, namely vacations

with pay. We felt that this bill was sufficient at this time and I must say, Mr. Speaker, that I cannot accept the amendment which has been proposed.

The house divided on the amendment (Mr. Knowles, Winnipeg North Centre) which was negatived on the following division:

YEAS

Messrs:

Barnett	Leboe
Begin	Leduc (Verdun)
Blackmore	Loiselle
Breton	Macdonald (Vancouver-Kingsway)
Bryce	MacEachen
Bryson	McWilliam
Cameron	Martin (Timmins)
Campbell	Meunier
(The Battlefords)	Murphy (Westmorland)
Caron	Nicholson
Carter	Olson
Castleden	Patterson
Deniset	Peters
Deschatelets	Quelch
Dupuis	Regier
Gingues	Richard (Ottawa East)
Haidasz	Robichaud
Hansell	Shaw
Hardie	Smith (Battle River-Camrose)
Herridge	Stefura
Holowach	Villeneuve (Roberval)
Johnson (Kindersley)	Winch
Johnston (Bow River)	Zaplitny—46.
Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre)	

NAYS

Messrs:

Aiken	Habel
Aitken, Miss	Hales
Anderson	Halpenny
Arsenault	Hamilton (Notre Dame de Grace)
Ashbourne	Hamilton (Qu'Appelle)
Balcer	Hamilton (York West)
Barbes	Harkness
Baskin	Hees
Bell (Carleton)	Howe
Bonnier	Huffman
Bourque	Kennedy
Brisson	Kirk
Brooks	Knowles (Norfolk)
Broome	Kucherepa
Campbell	Lavigne
(Lambton-Kent)	Legare
Cardiff	Lennard
Cathers	Lesage
Chabot	Macdonald (Kings)
Chown	Macdonnell
Churchill	MacEwan
Coates	MacInnis
Comtois	MacLean
Courtemanche	McCleave
Crouse	McDonald (Hamilton South)
Deslieres	McGrath
Dinsdale	McGregor
Doucett	McPhillips
Dumas	Mandziuk
English	Martini
Fairclough, Mrs.	Milligan
Fairfield	Mitchell
Fleming	Monteith
Forgie	Montgomery
Gauthier (Portneuf)	Morton
Green	
Grills	