

*Emergency Powers*

Mr. TUCKER: I was paired with the hon. member for Vegreville (Mr. Hlynka). Had I voted I would have voted to sustain Your Honour's ruling.

Mr. CLEAVER: I was paired with the hon. member for Bow River (Mr. Johnston). Had I voted I would have voted to sustain Your Honour's ruling.

Mr. LITTLE: I was paired. Had I voted I would have voted to sustain Your Honour's ruling.

Mr. RICHARD (Gloucester): I was paired with the hon. member for Royal (Mr. Brooks). Had I voted I would have voted to sustain Your Honour's ruling.

(Translation):

Mr. DORION: I was paired with the hon. member for Labelle (Mr. Lalonde). Had I voted, I would have voted against Your Honour's ruling.

Mr. GAGNON: I was paired with the hon. member for Montmagny-L'Islet (Mr. Lesage). Had I voted, I would have voted against Your Honour's ruling.

(Text):

Mr. CLARENCE GILLIS (Cape Breton South): Last evening when I moved the adjournment of the debate I noticed that there were quite a few on the front benches on the other side who were not desirous of carrying on the debate. They did not take very kindly to adjourning the house fifteen minutes early. Evidently there is a hurry to get—

Mr. MACKENZIE: On a point of order, we consented immediately to the hon. gentleman's suggestion.

An hon. MEMBER: But not with good grace.

An hon. MEMBER: Nonsense.

Mr. ABBOTT: You did it so you could get your speech all in the one *Hansard*.

Mr. GILLIS: I am not concerned with getting it in *Hansard*; I am concerned about checking the memories of hon. members opposite in connection with a lot of the promises they made during the six years this country was at war. I think the time that I will take this afternoon will be well spent because I still believe that some of them have consciences even though they have bad memories.

The matter now before the house is the principle of the bill we are now considering. That question is whether controls should be maintained in this country. I am going to take up some time this afternoon for the purpose of dealing with the principle of this [Mr. Speaker.]

bill; the mechanical details can be discussed when we are in committee, but the broad principles upon which the bill is or should be based cannot be discussed at that time. I consider, Mr. Speaker, that any time spent by this house, no matter how long it may be, in clarifying the subject matter of this bill will be time well spent, because the very principles are involved for which we fought through six years of war and sacrificed a great many of the boys of this country.

Although there are several different groups in this house debate on the bill now before us divides the house into two. The Progressive Conservative party, the Liberal party and the Social Credit party all through this debate have stated in no uncertain terms that they believe in the system of free enterprise. The group to which I belong say that they do not, but rather that they believe in a planned order of society. That places the question squarely before the house. On this subject there are only two opinions.

Let me remind some of my free enterprisers in this house that the system of free enterprise has failed us twice in one lifetime and brought about armed conflict throughout the world.

Some hon. MEMBERS: No.

Mr. GILLIS: I knew I would get under somebody's skin. Throw a stone into a pack of wolves and you will hit one and it will howl. I said that I was going to try to re-awaken the conscience of the members of this house, because I still believe that they have a conscience. The fact that interruptions are coming so freely shows that I was not wrong in my surmise that they still have a conscience, and it is beginning to prick them.

I have said that that order of society brought on two wars in our lifetime, and it did. You cannot deny it.

An hon. MEMBER: I certainly do.

Mr. GILLIS: History proves it. I want to remind hon. gentlemen to my left who still believe in the free enterprise system, and who in the province of Alberta at the present time are administering a kind of economy that is evidently quite satisfactory to the Tory party—

Mr. REID: Was there not a planned economy in Germany?

Mr. GILLIS: The Tory party in Alberta decided that they would not contest the province, that they had a government that was satisfactory to them.

The lessons, Mr. Speaker, that we have to learn from the last war are, first, that the system of free enterprise did not measure up. Dictators were born and bred out of selfish-