Governor General, will proceed to the Senate Chamber to-day at 3.15 p.m., for the purpose of giving the Royal Assent to certain Bills.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

F. L. C. PEREIRA,

Assistant Secretary to the Governor General.

The Honourable

The Speaker of the House of Commons,

Ottawa.

A Message was received from the Senate informing this House that the Senate had passed the following Bills:-

Bill No. 57, An Act for granting to His Majesty certain sums of money for the public service of the financial year ending the 31st March, 1941.

Bill No. 25, An Act for granting to His Majesty aid for National Defence and Security.

Also,-A Message informing this House that the Senate had passed the following Bills, to which the concurrence of this House was desired:-

Bill No. 58 (Letter L-2 of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Pauline Myrle Barr Gauld."

Bill No. 59 (Letter M-2 of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Marie Alice Veillet Piché."

And also,—A Message communicating to this House the evidence taken before the Standing Committee of the Senate on Divorce, to whom were referred the petitions of Pauline Myrle Barr Gauld and Marie Alice Veillet Piché respectively; praying for Bills of Divorce, and the papers produced in evidence before them with a request that the same be returned to the Senate.

Mr. Ralston, a Member of the King's Privy Council, presented,-Return to an Order of the House of March 17, 1941, for a Return showing:-

1. Have buildings been constructed at Lauzon for the accommodation of recruits?

2. If so, what was the cost?

3. Was the work done under contract or by day labour?

4. How many feet of lumber were purchased for the construction of such buildings?

5. Were tenders called for? If so, from whom were the tenders received, who was the successful tenderer and at what figure?

6. Were tenders called for the feeding of recruits stationed at Lauzon? If so, who tendered, who was the successful tenderer and at what price?

7. Has the Government received any complaints with regard to the management of this camp? If so, of what nature?

8. Did the Royal Canadian Mounted Police investigate such complaints?

9. Was any person discharged and were thefts discovered?

10. Was the inquiry suspended and were representations made to the Government in favour of the suspension? If so, what were they?

11. Did the Royal Canadian Mounted Police submit a report to the Government?