based on characters derived immediately from the shell itself. For the purpose of classification, four characters, of more or less value, are especially available. These comprise:—(1) the character of the aperture; (2) the form of the septa; (3) the position and character of the siphuncle; and (4) the form and mode of growth of the shell.

The aperture may be: (a) open; (b) contracted. The septa: (a) simple; (b) angular or lobed. The position of the siphuncle: (a) central or sub-central; (b) internal or "ventral;" (c) external or "dorsal." The siphuncle itself: (a) simple; (b) complicated. The form of the shell: (a) straight or conical; (b) arched or "horned" in various ways; (r) discoidal, with or without contiguous volutions; and (d) spiral.

By means of these characters, all the trustworthy genera of the chambered cephalopods may be arranged, conveniently at least. if not naturally, in ten sections or families*: as shewn in the following: tabular view:—

- 1. Gomphoceras (including Hall's Orthoceras fusiforme;) Phragmoceras; Oncoaeras; Lituites:
- 2. HETEROSIPHONIDE:—Aperture unknown, perhaps contracted. Siphuncle more or less complicated, or otherwise marginal, with conical orthoceras-like shell. Septa simple or slightly wavy. (See remarks below.) Endoceras; Cameroceras?; Gonioceras; Ormoceras; Ascoceras.
- 3. NAUTILIDE: Aperture open. Septa simple, Siphuncle central or sub-central: Orthoceras; Nautilus; Lituites; Hortolus; Aploceras (including Hall's Cyrtoceras Annulatum?) Nautiloceras; Trochoceras.
- 4. Твосновиться:—Aperture open, Septa simple. Siphuncle internal or "ventral," Trocholites.
- 5. CYRTOCEBATIDE:—Aperture open. Septa simple. Siphuncle external or "dorsal":—Cyrtoceras; Gyroceras; Cryptoceras.
- 6. CLYMENIDE:—Aperture open. Septa lobed. Siphuncle internal. Clymenia: Sub-clymenia.

^{*}Many paleontologists will, no doubt, think an extended sub-division of this kind veryunnecessary, and prefer to group these forms in two, or at the most, in three families; but.
in adopting this plan, the characters of the respective families become ill-defined, and the
appreciation of transition groups much weakened; whilst, at the same time, a necessity is
occasioned for the creation of sub-families or tribes. A classification which does not shew
upon its fue a greater distinction between Goniatites, Coratites, and Ammonites, than
between the last named genus and Hamites or Baculites for example, assuredly has no
claim to be considered a natural grouping. In the arrangement given in the text, the
second family is merely a provisional one, rendered necessary by our still imperfect knowledge of its included forms.—E. J. C.