

C 27046

May 10, 1918.

To the Honorable Secretary of Labor,
Washington, D. C.

To avoid all possible misunderstanding, I wire to say that in my statement of May 6, 1918 to the Honorable H. J. Skeffington, I accepted unreservedly for the Pittsfield Works of the General Electric Company the terms set forth in your telegram of May 5, 1918 to Mr. N. McDougall, Schenectady, N. Y., and Mr. Chas. Hartman, Pittsfield, Mass., and relative to the strike at the General Electric Works here. In confirmation, I wrote on May 9, 1918, the following letter:

Pittsfield, Mass., May 9, 1918.

Hon. H. J. Skeffington,
Hon. John J. Casey,
Commissioners of Conciliation.
Gentlemen:

Referring to your question of this morning concerning my statement of May 6th to Mr. Skeffington - the telegram referred to in that statement was the telegram sent Messrs. McDougall and Hartman dated May 4, 1918, by the Secretary of Labor, copy of which was handed me by Mr. Skeffington on the morning of May 6, 1918. The plant referred to in the statement is the Pittsfield Works of the General Electric Company.

In addition I will state that we will accept the services of the National War Labor Board in any future differences that may arise, should we be unable to settle these differences with our employees.

Respectfully yours,

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
(Pittsfield Works)

C. C. Chesney, Manager.

My position today remains unchanged. This telegram is sent with the approval of Messrs. Skeffington and Casey.

General Electric Company,
(Pittsfield Works)

C. C. Chesney, Manager.

W. L. Mackenzie King Papers
Volume C 35

PUBLIC ARCHIVES PUBLIQUES
CANADA