Beer	Loiselle
Benson	Macdonald (Rosedale)
Blair	MacEachen
Blouin	MacGuigan
Borrie	Mackasey
Breau	McBride
Brown	McIlraith
Buchanan	McNulty
Cadieux (Labelle)	Mahoney
Cafik	Major
Clermont	Marceau
Cobbe	Marchand (Langelier)
Corbin	Marchand (Kamloops-Cariboo)
Côté (Richelieu)	Morison
Côté (Longueuil)	Munro
Cullen	Murphy
Cyr	Noël
Danson	O'Connell
Davis	Orange
Deachman	Osler
Deakon	Otto
De Bané	Ouellet
Douglas (Assiniboia)	Pelletier
Drury	Penner
Dubé	Pepin
Éthier	Perrault
Forest	Pilon
Forget	Portelance
Foster	Pringle
Francis	Prud'homme
Gendron	Reid
Gibson	Richardson
Givens	Roberts
Goode	Rochon
Goyer	Rock
Gray	Roy (Timmins)
Groos	Roy (Laval)
Guay (St. Boniface)	Serré
Guay (Lévis)	Sharp
Guilbault	Smith (Northumberland-Miramichi)
Haidasz	Smith (Saint-Jean)
Hogarth	Stafford
Honey	Stanbury
Hopkins	Stewart (Cochrane)
Howard (Okanagan Boundary)	Stewart (Okanagan-Kootenay)
Hymmen	St. Pierre
Isabelle	Sullivan
Jamieson	Thomas (Maisonneuve)
Jerome	Tolmie
Kaplan	Trudeau
Kierans	Trudel
Lachance	Turner (London East)
Laflamme	Turner (Ottawa-Carleton)
Laing	Wahn
Lang	Walker
Langlois	Watson
Laniel	Weatherhead
Leblanc (Laurier)	Whelan
Lefebvre	Whiting
Legault	Yanakis—128.
Lessard (LaSalle)	
Lind	

• (4:50 p.m.)

Mr. Speaker: I declare the amendment lost.

As I indicated to hon. members earlier, it would not be in order to put the main motion to the house since it has now, by the lapse of time, become a nullity.

Mr. Woolliams: I rise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker. There is one point I would ask you to consider. If a motion is a nullity, how can there be an amendment to a motion that is a nullity?

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Perhaps I should not have put the motion. However, the division on the amendment was called before four o'clock. That was my purpose in suggesting to hon. members that if they wanted to vote on the amendment to the motion the question should be put before four o'clock.

MOTION TO ADJOURN HOUSE

Mr. André Fortin (Lotbinière): Mr. Speaker, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Abitibi (Mr. Laprise), that the house do now adjourn.

Mr. Speaker: Before putting the motion I wish to bring to the attention of hon. members Standing Order 25. I have extremely serious doubts about the motion being put at this time. If hon. members feel that the motion can be put, I would like to hear their arguments, but to my mind it is clear that Standing Order 25, and particularly if we read in this instance the English text, makes this motion inadmissible. The Standing Order reads as follows:

> A motion to adjourn, unless otherwise prohibited in these standing orders, shall always be in order, but no second motion to the same effect shall be made until some intermediate proceeding has taken place.

In view of this I have some doubts as to whether the motion can be moved. Because of these reservations I would be pleased to hear hon. members on the point, but I think that it is only if there were an intermediate proceeding that the motion could be put.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, as Your Honour pointed out, this motion is made under the provisions of Standing Order 25, which reads as follows:

> A motion to adjourn, unless otherwise prohibited in these standing orders, shall always be in order, but no second motion to the same effect shall be made until some intermediate proceeding has taken place.

I submit that the effect of the motion now put by the hon. member for Lotbinière (Mr. Fortin) is not the same as the effect of either of the propositions put before the house earlier. The first proposition was that the house adjourn at four o'clock, the second proposition was that the house adjourn at 5.30 p.m. It is now 5.02 p.m., which is a different time.