

CEYLON TEA

blend picked on the richest gardens in its natural flavor retained by being lead packages.

LATER SHOE

YOU WANT.

want your shoes to be? ing to pay for the best est—the best wear, the yle and the shape that y of your feet. There best about it when you to pay for the best. Slater Shoes—they wear ok. There's the best of the best of everything on 't be matched for the

three years ago to re- ssible to make such a good shoe as it for \$3. It was impossible with the discarded models still in use in led by the Messieurs Envy. The ion of modern shoemaking—an out- experience and intelligent study—un- turned to Canada the eyes of the

ER SHOE STORE

Street West.

LATER SHOE

and 6.

LD ST.

oid.

ry also open

really re- street

NT

CK

ND

and 6.

LD ST.

oid.

ry also open

really re- street

NT

CK

ND

and 6.

LD ST.

oid.

ry also open

really re- street

NT

CK

ND

and 6.

LD ST.

oid.

ry also open

really re- street

NT

CK

ND

and 6.

LD ST.

oid.

ry also open

really re- street

NT

CK

ND

and 6.

LD ST.

oid.

ry also open

really re- street

IS A GREAT BIG QUESTION.

Council Grapples With a Hard Problem,

THE LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS

Ald. Shaw Made His Long-Expected Attack Upon the System.

He Says the People Are Sick of It and Want a Change—His Objection to It—Ald. Hubbard Thinks that the System is a Very Bad One—Ald. Spence, Lynd, Sheppard, Carlyle and Graham Have a Word to Say About It.

For 15 years the local improvement system of constructing and paying for roadways, sidewalks, sewers, street widenings and street extensions has been in operation in Toronto. The system is generally supposed to have originated in the United States, and was introduced in Toronto in 1882 to supersede the system of constructing and repairing out of the general funds, commonly called "the old ward-grabbing system." According to no less an authority than Acting Assessment Commissioner Foxman, the working of the local improvement system at its commencement was hailed with joy as being a great improvement on the old system. It has now been in operation long enough to have its faults and defects fully developed, and that it has defects is recognized by everyone who knows anything about its working. Despite the fact that many, if not all, of these defects have been apparent for some years, no legislation has been sought to amend the law No. 2001, which brought the system into operation, and as a consequence the evils of the system have been allowed to continue, and the whole principle or system has been adjudged by some to be a failure. Though the system still commends itself to the majority of the property owners its defects have caused such an amount of dissatisfaction that a sub-committee was appointed by the City Council, with Ald. Shaw as chairman, to look into the whole matter and report. This was done early in the year. For reasons too numerous to mention, the Council kept putting off the consideration of this important question until yesterday, when a special meeting was held to discuss the whole matter.

Ald. Shaw's Resolution.

Since May 3, Ald. Shaw has had a notice of motion on the order paper as follows:

1. That pavements and sidewalks should be constructed at the general expense of the city.
2. That property fronting and abutting upon pavements and sidewalks constructed shall be exempt from paying anything towards the cost of new pavements and sidewalks until the lifetime of the present pavement or sidewalk has expired and a new pavement or sidewalk is laid down.
3. That a bylaw be prepared and submitted to the qualified ratepayers to repeal bylaw No. 2001, and extending therefrom pavements and sidewalks upon streets.

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

lighten the burden of taxation it is suggested that debentures for the renewal and reconstruction of pavements be issued for a long period, say 25 or 30 years. In this way the annual charges for new pavements will be largely reduced.

Attacking the System.

The report also contained the views of several of the aldermen on the subject. The Board of Control in sending on this report stated that they did not concur in the proposed abolition of the local improvement system. Council went into committee and Ald. Lamb moved the adoption of the report. Ald. Shaw, in amendment, moved his resolution as above. He then proceeded to deliver his long-delayed attack upon the local improvement system. He spoke with a calmness and deliberation betokening the importance of the subject, and set such a good example to the speakers who followed that the discussion proved an intelligent and thorough one as far as it went. Before entering upon this discussion the Council had under consideration a bylaw in reference to the Gladstone-avenue extension, where the estimated cost of land was \$100,000, and the actual cost \$26,000. Ald. Shaw opened his remarks by stating that this Gladstone-avenue matter formed a fitting prelude to a discussion of the local improvement system. The people, he contended, were dissatisfied with this system. Hard times, no doubt, had something to do with the indignation on the part of property owners to load up with local rates for pavements and sidewalks, but the local improvement system was responsible for a good deal of the hard times. The property owners' share of the present local improvement debt was \$5,562,000 and the city's share \$2,000,000, making a total of \$7,562,000. A frightful waste of money, he contended, was being made by the system. Miles of unpaved streets, sewers, widening and extensions had been made, and the rates were really valueless as far as public interests were concerned. The system had been woefully abused. It was ready to abuse. Contractors had used it for all it was worth.

Miles of Worn Out Pavements.

Ald. Shaw here referred to the first edition of Ald. Lamb's "Facts About Toronto," in which it was stated that in this city there were 256 miles of streets and of these 176 miles were paved. In the second edition of the controller's report he had left out any mention of the number of miles of paved streets, because it was really a question whether half of them were paved or not. There were 120 miles of cedar block pavement in Toronto and the Engineer had reported that 41 miles of these were worn out. Under the local improvement law the property owners could not be compelled to put these pavements into better condition, and they would not do so voluntarily, as shown by the number of petitions that were coming in against such works. One-half of the property owners said they could not pay the rates, and the other half said they would not pay either as a local improvement or at the general rate. If two-thirds of the property owners want a pavement, no matter how costly it was the city had no right to refuse it, and the cost, and the remaining one-third of the property owners had to pay their share, whether they were able or not.

Another Objection Cited.

There was nearly 2000 feet less asphalt pavement on Adelaide-street from York to Spadina than on King-street from Sherbourne to Simcoe, yet the property owners on Adelaide-street were paying more for their pavement than property owners on King-street were. It was true that there were car tracks on King-street, but that was all the more reason that they should pay more as the cars brought business to the street.

Ald. Shaw next referred to the attempt

to repeal the local improvement system. He said that the local improvement system was a very bad one, and that it was a pity that the Council was called to discuss it. He said that the local improvement system was a very bad one, and that it was a pity that the Council was called to discuss it. He said that the local improvement system was a very bad one, and that it was a pity that the Council was called to discuss it.

Ald. Shaw's Resolution.

Since May 3, Ald. Shaw has had a notice of motion on the order paper as follows:

1. That pavements and sidewalks should be constructed at the general expense of the city.
2. That property fronting and abutting upon pavements and sidewalks constructed shall be exempt from paying anything towards the cost of new pavements and sidewalks until the lifetime of the present pavement or sidewalk has expired and a new pavement or sidewalk is laid down.
3. That a bylaw be prepared and submitted to the qualified ratepayers to repeal bylaw No. 2001, and extending therefrom pavements and sidewalks upon streets.

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Ald. Lamb claimed that the Council was called to discuss the report on the subject sent by the Board of Works, through the Board of Control. This report recommended as follows:

1. That a pavement be authorized for the city, composed of 8-in. gravel and 4-in. wood curb, costing about \$8000 per mile, to be paid for at the general expense.
2. Providing that a better or more extensive class of pavement may be authorized for by the property owners, and that in the event of such pavement being constructed a rebate shall be allowed to the property owners to the value of the pavement before mentioned, and the balance of the cost shall be charged as a local improvement against the property interested, said property being assessed for a general rate, and the balance of the cost shall be paid for all time to come be kept up at the general expense of the city.
3. That such streets as are already permanently improved be exempt from paying a tax in lieu of such improvement, but shall continue to be in good condition, but when they require reconstruction the property abutting on them shall come under the tax for general roadways.
4. In order that this proposition may be carried out, your committee recommend that the City Engineer prepare an estimate of the cost of renewing the present worn-out pavements with gravel or such other suitable material as he may recommend, and in order to

Baxter he was the prince of ward-grabbers. The people were not in favor of abolishing the present system, and anyway it was no time to take a vote now when so many people were out of town. The vote, if taken at all, should be taken in the fall.

The Views of Ald. Lynd.

Ald. Lynd, however, said that Ald. Shaw had not convinced him that the present system should be abolished. Ald. Shaw had not referred to the condition of the streets prior to the introduction of the local improvement system. The Mayor, he said, was judiciously left out.

Ald. Lynd, continuing, said that Ald. Shaw had not suggested a complete scheme for substitution. The speaker would admit that the local improvement system was not satisfactory in its present form. It should be amended so that when the City Engineer said a street was dangerous the city should have power to say that a pavement must go down and charge it as a local improvement, or the city should have power to petition according to the amount of the share it paid. It was the amendments suggested that it was the best system yet proposed. He contended that if it was abolished many parties that are now opened and improved would be neglected. He would like to see a different system of repairs from that now in force. The present system was too expensive. The expenses should be looked after every few weeks, and not allowed to get into bad condition.

Ald. Spence Speaks Out.

Ald. Spence asked if there was any outcry for a change in the system. Ald. Shaw referred him to the petitions against proposed works, but Ald. Spence replied that these petitions only showed that the people were not in favor of the system. He contended that the local improvement system was a very bad one, and that it was a pity that the Council was called to discuss it. He said that the local improvement system was a very bad one, and that it was a pity that the Council was called to discuss it.

The Mayor's View.