WAS this an Anſwer which ought to have ſatisfied a M———r; and yet on this he has all along reſted, though things continued as juſtly to be complained of as before; and though Lord *Albermarle* ſaid he would examine the two Men which came to *Paris*, in order to ſupport their *receiving Satisfaction*, was it ever done? It was not. And the Reaſon for it was, that our M———r at home, by means of the *French* Ambaſſador in *London*, had obtained, as a *Requeſt*, the Releaſe of theſe three Men, and given up all Claim to the Effects and other Things which were mentioned in the Memorial. Wherefore Lord *Albermarle*, in conſequence of Orders, deſiſted from endeavouring to obtain an Indemnification for the *Britiſh* Subjects.

Now, Sir, will an unprejudiced Man believe, in conſequence of what you have laid down, and of the M———r's Letters, *unauthenticated*, that the Priſoners were diſcharged in compliance to a *Demand*, which has never been complied with in the other Particulars depending on the ſame Cauſe of Complaint againſt *French* Injuſtice? or from what I have ſaid, and the *French* Manifeſto ſign'd by their *M———rs*, *unreply'd to* by the Court of *England*, that the Releaſement

was