

body, it was simply a discussion in which each individual gave *his views*. No apology was asked for by the body, for the apology was made before we met, and the letter of apology was read, and we were told that it was accepted, pending a few suggested changes.

"Hence the matter was practically settled and peace restored. This is why I said nothing, I went to the meeting prepared to explain, what I knew, had any vote of expulsion been proposed. It was after this was settled that I made my remarks about 'Churchman's' letter, all which referred to this letter only.

"I remain,

"Yours faithfully,

"J. WALKER MARSH."

To this letter no reply was vouchsafed.

---

At the Council meeting on April 26th, Archdeacon Sweatman did not hesitate to drag in a matter which had no connection with the business in hand, when he said "that he had always considered me sincere until recently when a circumstance came to his knowledge which proved my want of sincerity." This remark could not have been made *to raise me* in the estimation of those present, and, as I had not the remotest idea to what he alluded, I wrote to him as follows:—

"719 WATERLOO STREET, LONDON,

"April 26th, 1879.

"MY DEAR ARCHDEACON SWEATMAN,—Referring to the remark that you made this morning 'from some circumstance that had lately come to your knowledge, you were led to doubt my sincerity,'

"May I ask you kindly to let me know to what you alluded, as perhaps I may be able to explain it, and thus remove from your mind the feeling of any want of sincerity.

"I remain yours faithfully,

"J. WALKER MARSH."

Reply of Archdeacon Sweatman,—