

the University is definitely committed to the policy of offering the best possible legal education based mainly on the study of the Roman and Civil systems and of devoting all its available resources to this purpose. The Faculty is also on record as being of opinion that three years should be devoted exclusively to the systematic study of law at the University. Your committee thoroughly approves these decisions and recommends that:

The course of study and timetable be based on the assumption that the student is devoting his entire time to his University work.

Up to the present the calls on the students' time have been such that it has been impossible to expect from them much reading or independent study, and in consequence the lecturers have been forced to adopt the method, justly criticized in your report for 1923-24, of attempting "to prepare a series of lectures upon a given subject, including in those lectures a complete summary of the information which they think necessary to the student and of examining him as to his knowledge of the contents of their series of lectures." Your report goes on to say:

"The method which makes study collateral to lectures seems to be exactly the reverse of that which should be followed. The primary object of a University training is education, not the passing of examinations. The only method of obtaining education, of becoming fitted to meet with and wrestle with the problems of life is for the student