

Division

NAYS

Messrs:

Allmand	Laniel
Badanai	Leblanc (Laurier)
Barrett	LeBlanc (Rimouski)
Basford	Lefebvre
Béchar	Legault
Beer	Lessard (LaSalle)
Benson	Lind
Blair	Macdonald (Rosedale)
Blouin	MacEachen
Borrie	MacGuigan
Breau	Mackasey
Brown	McNulty
Buchanan	Mahoney
Caccia	Major
Cadieux (Labelle)	Marceau
Cantin	Marchand (Kamloops- Cariboo)
Chappell	Morison
Chrétien	Noël
Cobbe	Olson
Comtois	Orange
Corbin	Osler
Côté (Richelieu)	Otto
Crossman	Ouellet
Cullen	Pepin
Cyr	Perrault
Danson	Pilon
Deachman	Portelance
Deakon	Pringle
Douglas (Assiniboia)	Richardson
Dubé	Roberts
Duquet	Robinson
Énard	Rochon
Forest	Roy (Laval)
Forget	Ryan
Francis	Serré
Gendron	Smerchanski
Gervais	Smith (Northumberland- Miramichi)
Gibson	Smith (Saint-Jean)
Gillespie	Stafford
Goode	Stanbury
Goyer	Stewart (Okanagan- Kootenay)
Gray	St. Pierre
Guay	Sulatycky
(St. Boniface)	Thomas (Maisonneuve)
Guilbault	Tolmie
Harries	Trudel
Hogarth	Turner (London East)
Honey	Wahn
Hymmen	Watson
Kaplan	Weatherhead
Lachance	Whelan
Laing	Whicher—105.
(Vancouver South)	
Lang (Saskatoon- Humboldt)	
Langlois	

Mr. Speaker: I declare the amendment lost. Is the House ready for the question on the main motion?

• (9:30 p.m.)

Mr. Nesbitt: Mr. Speaker, I hesitate to take up the time of the House at this point, but if there are not too many interruptions I shall do so for only about two minutes.

[The Acting Speaker (Mr. Béchar).]

Mr. Speaker: Order. Is the hon. member rising on a point of order? He has already taken part in the debate; it is my understanding that he seconded the amendment. Unless it were with the agreement of the House, it would be difficult for him to take part in the debate a second time this evening.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

Some hon. Members: On division.

Motion agreed to, bill read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Indian Affairs and Northern Development.

NATIONAL PARKS ACT

AMENDMENTS RESPECTING DEFINITION,
ACQUISITION, ADMINISTRATION, ETC.

The House resumed from Tuesday, February 24, consideration of the motion of Mr. Chrétien that Bill C-152, to amend the National Parks Act, be read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Indian Affairs and Northern Development, and of the proposed amendment thereto of Mr. Lambert (Edmonton West) (page 4030).

Mr. Jack Horner (Crowfoot): Mr. Speaker, on rising to take part in the debate on the principle of this bill I will say at the outset that I am pleased, along with other members who have expressed their opinions on this subject, to see the minister in the House for the first time, as I recall.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Horner: I hope that before the bill passes this evening—and perhaps I should like to see it do that—the minister will rise and give us his version of the measure as it affects the people in the national parks at Waterton, Banff and Jasper. I do not think he really meant that they should move out if they did not like conditions there. I urge him to take this opportunity to explain exactly what he did mean and to tell us how the bill before us is likely to help these people.

As I said when the bill was before the House last Tuesday, I am concerned about the principle proposed. We have an amendment which implies that the principle is repugnant, that it should be withdrawn and that the government should reconsider the matter.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!