

to carry out his duties and functions under this section and shall furnish him with such information with respect to the administration of this section as he may reasonably require.

21. (1) No person shall obstruct or hinder an inspector in the carrying out of his duties or functions under section 20.

(2) No person shall knowingly make a false or misleading statement, either verbally or in writing, to an inspector engaged in carrying out his duties or functions under section 20.

22. (1) Any person who

(a) fails to provide the Minister with any plans and specifications required of him pursuant to subsection (1) of section 19, or

(b) constructs, alters or extends any work described in subsection (1) of section 19

(i) otherwise than in accordance with any plans and specifications provided to the Minister in accordance with a requirement made under that subsection, or with any such plans and specifications as required to be modified by any order made under subsection (2) of that section,

(ii) contrary to any order made under subsection (2) of that section prohibiting the carrying out of such construction, alteration or extension,

is guilty of an offence and is liable on summary conviction to the punishment provided in subsection (1) of section 25.

(2) Any person who violates section 21 is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction."

and by renumbering subsequent clauses accordingly.—*Mr. Harding.*

RULING BY MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Motion No. 16 it seems to me presents a difficult procedural problem in that not only has the motion been exceedingly well prepared but it presents a related and a comprehensive proposal that appears to be independent of any provision of the bill.

The motion proposes a new and a substantive proposal which purports to provide the Minister with wide authority over the physical construction or extension of any plant or works which may or are likely to result in the deposit of prohibited waste in waters. If I may suggest to the honourable Member for Kootenay West, it would appear that the completeness of his proposal would seem to be the procedural weakness in his motion. He has presented, I suggest, not a motion to amend but a substantive legislative proposal that seems to be independent and beyond the scope of Bill C-144.

As stated at page 549 of May's 17th edition, an amendment is out of order if it is irrelevant to the subject-matter or beyond the scope of the bill. A similar injunction is to be found in citation 406 of Beauchesne's fourth edition. Regretfully, for the reasons stated, I must suggest to the honourable Member that his motion may not be put from the Chair.