

King, Kingston; Frank D. Kerr, Peterboro; Archivist, W. S. Herrington, K.C., Napanee; Recording Secretary, A. A. Macdonald, Toronto; Treasurer, H. F. Parkinson, Toronto; Corresponding Secretary, W. J. Beaton, Toronto. Toronto Members of Council—Frank Denton, K.C.; A. J. R. Snow, K.C.; J. M. Clark, K.C.; J. H. Spence, Daniel Urquhart, W. K. Murphy, Thomas Rowan, H. S. White, W. D. Gregory, Daniel O'Connell. Others members of Council—W. S. Ormison, Uxbridge; W. K. Kerr, Cobourg; O. L. Lewis, K.C., Chatham; Nicol Jeffrey, Guelph; J. S. Davis, Smithville; W. S. MacBrayne, Hamilton; W. T. Henderson, K.C., Brantford; V. A. Sinclair, Tillsonburg; J. B. McKillop, K.C., London; R. J. Towers, Sarnia; Col. W. N. Ponton, K.C., Belleville; Harold Fisher, Ottawa; George McGaughey, North Bay; S. G. McKay, K.C., Woodstock; F. P. Betts, K.C., London; W. K. Cameron, St. Thomas; W. A. J. Bell, K.C., Barrie; W. H. Wright, Owen Sound; J. A. Stewart, Perth; Geo. A. Stiles, Cornwall; F. H. Thompson, K.C., Stratford; A. C. Kingstone, St. Catharines; Chas. Garrow, Goderich; T. D. Cowper, Welland; H. A. Burbidge, Hamilton; L. V. O'Connor, Lindsay.

CONSTRUCTION OF RULES BY JUDGES WHO FRAME THEM.

It has recently been said by Mr. Justice Middleton in *Oliver v. Frankford Canning Co.*, 17 O.W.N. 407, that motions for judgment under *Rule 62* may now be made in Chambers and that the Master in Chambers has jurisdiction to entertain such motions; and that the note to the contrary in Holmsted's *Judicature Act*, p. 411, is not to be relied on.

This is not an actual decision, but a mere dictum; but it is a dictum of the learned Judge by whom the *Rules* as they now stand were revised; the learned Judge has therefor the advantage of approaching the interpretation of the *Rules* as they now stand, with the added knowledge of what he meant; whereas a commentator or practitioner can only interpret them by what they actually say.