and no lastern Canadian Provinces Transportahoc mion Advisory Committee and the New er of stangland/Eastern Provinces Energy Advels, an isory Committee, which were established ange of August 1973 by the respective governors nmo 1 pr md premiers. The sixth technique used by nt proje tates in dealing with provinces involves s-borde anadian provincial participation in U.S. of direct nterstate compacts. For example, the province inform Vehicle Registration Proration tabl shind Reciprocity Interstate Compact has a ince or ptal of 19 states as members, in addition o British Columbia and Alberta. Another kample is the 1949 Interstate Forest Fire tht state rotection Compact, which includes the six New England states. New York and the rovinces of Quebec and New Brunswick.

it trans.

 $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{l}\mathbf{i}$  : re

often l The seventh technique used by state oronto ind provincial officials involves profesional associations in which both state and rage en provincial officials are members (e.g. the nternational Association of Law Enforcenent Officers and the American Associaion of Motor Vehicle Administrators). by stations and meetings of such assois espirations serve as channels for getting se o a logether, enabling state/provincial officials ate go cestablish personal contacts, to discuss thir thommon problems and to exchange inove not ormation and resolve issues. In addition, change he associations themselves can serve as s a lene basis for, or indeed obviate the need etings for, separate state/provincial activity f Wash hrough such associational activities as ouisian echnical discussions, the sponsorship of io, New o-operative projects, the passing of ress and lutions, and the establishment of guide-Quebames. Some U.S. states have reported as n internany as two dozen associations they felt l "sumwere relevant in their dealings with procutional incial officials. Indeed, a total of 40 states

exampleported state/provincial activity involving

vernomissociations, and it can be roughly esti-

ing wimated that 21 per cent of the total

ore niestate/provincial activity includes the in-

 $97^{5}$  **Federal channels** 

ed by

es legi

S. Car

ee ing

W ashi

I slar rolvement of associations.

The final trans-border technique used er techny state and provincial officials involves federal governmental channels. This refers d propoth to the direct membership of states and provinces in federal Canadian-U.S. joint organizations (e.g. the Great Lakes Fisheries Commission and the International Joint Commission's numerous reie with recording groups), and to state/provincial rpurts officials dealing with each other in the lishme context of meetings with U.S.-Canadian at on federal authorities (e.g. the network of federal U.S.-Canadian civil defence agreeimments. It can be roughly estimated that ew En 15 per cent of the total state/provincial

activity includes the involvement of the federal government.

State/provincial relations undeniably have policy implications for the U.S. Federal Government. First, and most obvious. is the constitutional implication of this state/provincial activity in the context of the U.S. federal system. There is, in a general sense, no doubt in the U.S. Constitution as to where the treaty-making power lies. Article II Section 2 states: "He (the President) shall have power, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, to make treaties, provided two-thirds of the Senators present concur...". Moreover, states are expressly forbidden in the Constitution to conduct "foreign" relations without the consent of Congress according to Article I Section 10: "No state shall enter into any treaty, alliance or confederation . . . . No state shall without the consent of Congress . . . enter into any agreement or compact with another state or with a foreign power...." However, states do participate in forms of external relations, with varying degrees of legal formality, and Congress has deemed that not all these relations require Congressional consent. The Congress is willing to absorb the functional needs of states in these external relations, but is concerned with the "political" power of states and the extent to which this power might erode the centrality of the U.S. Federal Government. For example, in a statement on the Constitution prepared for Congress in its seventy-fourth session and repeated for its eighty-eighth session, it is stated: "The terms 'compact' and 'agreement' . . . do not apply to every compact or agreement . . . but the prohibition is directed to the formation of any combination tending to the increase of political power in the States which may encroach upon or interfere with the just supremacy of the United States." Nor is there any uncertainty as to the nature of this prohibition: "The terms cover all stipulations affecting the conduct or claims of the states, whether verbal or written, formal or informal, positive or implied with each other or with foreign powers."

No constitutional issue

Significantly, there were no major cases uncovered in the research for the State Department sponsored study that would raise fundamental constitutional questions about the U.S. federal system and the role of the states in external affairs. This is probably attributable to the fact that state/provincial activity is primarily concerned with functional necessities. However, it should be noted that

Varying degrees of legal formality