

The Appellants, other than the above-named Defendants, Queen's College at Kingston, submit that the Decree appealed from should be reversed or varied, for the following, amongst other reasons :

1. Because the Decree ought not to have given costs against the members personally.
2. Because the Court of Chancery has no jurisdiction in the matter complained of in the Plaintiff's Bill.
3. Because the Trustees had power to do what is complained of in dismissing the Plaintiff, and if dismissal was wrongful, Plaintiff's remedy was by action at law only.

M. C. CAMERON.

*Answer of Respondent, George Weir, to Appellant's reasons of appeal.*

The Decree of the Court of Chancery should be affirmed, and this Appeal dismissed with costs :

1. Because the circumstances stated in the Pleadings, and appearing in evidence herein, gave the Court of Chancery jurisdiction to restrain the Appellants from interfering with the Respondent, George Weir, in the performance of his duties as Professor of Classical Literature in the University of Queen's College.
2. Because the action of the Appellants in endeavouring to remove the Respondent, Weir, from his said Professorship, without cause assigned, or complaint proved, was in violation of the powers and duties of the Trustees of Queen's College under their Royal Charter of Incorporation.
3. Because such action of the Appellants was not only illegal, but entered upon manifestly, and demanded the interference of the Court of Chancery.
4. Because the Appellants, as Trustees of the said Incorporation, are governed by the regulations of the Charter with reference to their powers and duties, and any attempted violation of such regulations it is the Province of the Court of Chancery to restrain.
5. Because the Respondent, Weir, was as well under the Provisions of the Royal Charter, as under the general principle of Law in that behalf, entitled to be notified of any grounds of complaint, and to be heard thereupon before he had been removed by the said Appellants.
6. Because the Trustees of Queen's College have no summary power of dismissal over the Professors of the said College.
7. Because the Statutes of the said Trustees which assume to confer such power on the said Trustees, are illegal, and contrary to the Royal Charter of the said College.
8. Because the Respondent, Weir, was not guilty of, or in any way answerable for the alleged difficulties in Queen's College, which was the ostensible reason for the summary proceedings of the Trustees, when they ordered his dismissal.
9. Because upon all or any of the grounds taken in the Court of Chancery, the Plaintiff was entitled to the Decree pronounced herein.

ADAM CROOKS,  
*Counsel for the Respondent, Weir.*