

TABLE OF CANADIAN CASES.

Hughes v Hughes, 444
 Hunter v Vanstone, 366
 Hunter v Wilcockson, 424, 444

Inglehart v Gagnier, 75
 Ingram v Taylor, 166
 Intercolonial Bridge Co. v Southern
 Railway, 329
 International Bridge Co. v. Canada
 Southern Ry., 144

James v. Balfour, 366
 Jellett v Anderson, 363
 Jessup v Grand Trunk Railway, 143
 Johnston v Bennet, 265
 Johnston v Johnston, 403
 Jones v Dunbar, 40
 Joseph v Haffner, 75

Keefe v Ward, 166
 Keith v Fenelon Falls, 348
 King v Hilton, 74
 Kirkpatrick v Stephenson, Re
 Kirkpatrick, 121
 Klein v The Union 345

Lambiere v School Trustees, 365
 Langman v Martin, Re, 120
 Leplante v Scamen, 97
 La Roche v O'Hagan, 277
 Lavin v Lavin, 145, 240
 Lawlor v Lawlor, 221
 Leaming v Wood 166
 Lee v Credit Valley Ry. Co., 100
 Leighton v Medley, 277
 Lightbound v Hill, 74, 98
 Lindsay v Morrison, Re, 444
 London and Canadian Loan Co. v
 Merritt et al, 279
 Longhi v Sanson, 10
 Love, Re, 371
 Lowson v Canada Farmers Mutual
 Ins. Co., 43, 55
 Lucas v Ross, 371

Macdonald v Henwood, 279.
 Macdonald v Worthington, 385.
 Macfie v Hunter, 75.
 MacNab, *In re*, 97.
 Major, F. X. v Corporation of the
 City of Three Rivers, 422.
 Martin v Lafferty, 243.
 Martin v McAlpine, 240.
 Malcomson v Wade, 221.
 Maytham, *Re the Tug*, 285.
 Merchants Bank v. Bell, 12.
 Merchants Bank v Campbell, 41.
 Merchants Bank v The Queen,
 271.
 Miller v Hamelin and Wife, 367.
 Miller v O'Brien, 304.
 Mills v Kerr, 383.
 Misener v Township of Wainfleet,
Re, 53.
 Mitchell *qui tam* v Phillips, 283.
 Monaghan v Horn, 273.
 Moore v Mellich, 370.
 Morrison v Taylor, 74.
 Munsie v Lindsay, 207.
 Murray v Gillett, et al, 78.

Murton v Kingston and Montreal
 Forwarding Co., 278.
 Muskoka Election Petition 304
 Mutton v Dey 365.
 McCall v Canada Farmers' Mutual
 Ins. Co., 217.
 McCallum v Odette, 272.
 McCardle v Moore, 423.
 McCausland v McCallum, 344.
 McClive et al solicitors, *In re*, 98.
 McClung v McCracken, 443.
 McCrae v White, 146, 426.
 McDonald v Field, 180.
 McDonald v Oliver, 369.
 McDonald v Lane, et al, 239.
 McDougall v Campbell, 92.
 McDowall v Phippen, 143.
 McGee v Campbell, 280.
 McLaren v Canada Central Rail-
 way Co., 141.
 McLean et al v the Queen, 271.
 McLean v Pinkerton, 367.
 McLellan v McKinnon, 277.
 McPherson v The Queen, 93.
 McSorley v Mayor of St. John and
 Landall, 238.
 McTiernan v Fraser, 329, 341.

Napier v Hughes, 76
 National In. Co. v Egleson, 11, 121
 Neill v Travellers Ins. Co., 385
 Neill v Union Mutual Ins. Co., 145
 Nelles v Bank of Montreal, 385
 Nelles v Second Mut. Ins. Co., 11.
 Nixon v Maltby, 276
 Noble v Corporation of Toronto,
 95
 Norris v Meadows, 165
 North of Scotland Mortgage Co. v
 Udell, 94
 Norvall v Canada Southern Ry.
 Co., 98, 243, 281

Oakland v Roper, 176
 O'Brien v O'Brien, 345
 O'Brien, Re, 387
 O'Connor v Anderson, 424
 O'Doherty v The Ontario Bank, 140
 O'Donohue v Whitty, 326, 426, 443
 O'Grady v McCaffrey, 142
 Oliver v Davidson, 273
 Omnium Securities Co. v Ellis, 143
 Ontario Glass Co. v Swartz, 371
 Oshawa Cabinet Co. v Note, 60

Page v Austin, 146
 Page v Proctor, 39
 Parkhill v McLeod, 14
 Parkhurst v Roy, 273
 Parry Sound Lumber Co. v Ferris
 et al 413
 Peacock v Allen; re Allen, 242
 Patterson v Mulock, 349
 Peck v Peck, 265
 Petition of Right, *Re*, 138
 Petrie v Guelph Lumber Co. et al,
 176.
 Petrie v Hunter, 440
 Pierce v Canavan, 165
 Plumb v Steinhoff, 344

Queen v C. A. Robertson, 220
 Queen v Doutre, 218
 Queen v Frawley, 275
 Queen ex rel. Grant v Coleman, 385
 Queen v Hodge, 275
 Queen v McFarlane et al, 219
 Queen v McNally, and Wm. Mc-
 Nally, Claimant, 93
 Queen v O'Rourke, 239

Rees v McKeown, 365.
 Regina v Bennett, 401.
 Regina v Chute, 139.
 Regina v Cluff, 120.
 Regina v Grainger, 10.
 Regina v Richardson, 10.
 Regina v Smith, 10.
 Regina ex rel. Watt v Lang and
 Chadwick, 42.
 Reid et al v Ramsay 138.
 Reid v Smith, 205.
 Reid v Wilson, 58.
 Ricker v Ricker, 274.
 Roberts v Hall, 177, 328.
 Robinson v Hall, 277.
 Rosenburger v Grand Trunk Ry.
 Company, 278.
 Rosenstadt v Rosenstadt, 426.
 Ross v Hunter, 162.
 Ross, *In re*, 11.
 Rumohr v Marx, 55 444.
 Russell, an Insolvent, *In re*, 384.
 Russell v The Canada Life Assur-
 ance Co., 142.
 Russell Election, *In re*, 400.

Sackville v Pacey, 14.
 Sanders v Malsberg, 206.
 Sanders, *In re the Township and
 Town of*, 368.
 Saylor v Cooper, 262.
 Scott v Creighton, 371.
 Segsworth v Meriden Silver Plating
 Co., 440.
 Shepherdson v McCullough, 140.
 Seiwewright v Leys, 76, 262,
 Slater v Mosgrove, 12.
 Smith v Doyle, 142.
 Smith v Goldie, 276.
 Smith v Raleigh, 346.
 Snarr v Granite Curling and Skat-
 ing Co., 96.
 Solicitors, *In re*, 55.
 Sproule v Stratford, 179.
 Squier, *Re*, 74.
 St. Catharines and Lincoln, *Re* 11.
 Steers v Shaw, 139.
 Stewart, *In re*, Appeal from the
 Court of Revision, 322.
 Stewart v Rounds, 365.
 Stoesser v Springer, 367.
 Stuart v Tremaine, 369.
 Summers v Summers, 442.
 Sutherland v McDonald, 166.
 Swainson v Bartley, 15.
 Swainson v Bentley, 370.
 Swaisland v Davidson, 370.
 Thurlow v Beck, 403.
 Thurlow v Sidney, 278.