

Order Paper Questions

(i), (ii) and (iii) Not applicable.

Question No. 3,828—Mr. Lawrence:

For the years 1976 to 1980, what was the number of (a) full-time (b) part-time psychiatrists at the Edmonton Institution, Edmonton and, in each case, what was his/her (i) educational qualification (ii) previous work experience (iii) salary?

Hon. Bob Kaplan (Solicitor General): In so far as the Correctional Service of Canada is concerned: (a) and (b) There were no psychiatrists at the above-mentioned institution. Psychiatric services were provided by provincial mental health facilities, the Pacific Regional Psychiatric Centre and the Prairie Regional Psychiatric Centre which opened in 1978.

(i), (ii) and (iii) Not applicable.

PURCHASE OF SAVIN CORPORATION BY CDC

Question No. 4,150—Mr. Corbett:

1. How many jobs are expected to be created in Canada as a result of the purchase of the Savin Corporation by the Canada Development Corporation?

2. How many Canadian scientists will be hired by the Savin Corporation?

3. Does the CDC intend to open any Savin branch plants in Canada and, if so (a) on what date (b) how many (c) at what locations?

4. Does the CDC intend to open a Savin Corporation research and development facility in Canada and, if so (a) on what date (b) at what location?

Hon. John C. Munro (Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development): 1 to 4. The Canada Development Corporation (CDC) is a private business corporation. More than 50 per cent of its equity is held by private investors. The federal government is an investor in the shares of the company under the CDC Act. It is currently holding approximately 46.5 per cent of the equity shares.

The federal Government cannot participate in any way in the day to day business activities of the CDC. It has never sought to influence the business decisions of the CDC which are the responsibility of the Board of Directors and its managers. As CDC is not in any way an agency of Her Majesty, information in reference to CDC must be sought from that company.

ONTARIO LIBERAL LEADERSHIP CONVENTION

Question No. 4,151—Mr. Beatty:

1. During the recent Ontario Liberal leadership convention, did CBLT employ anyone on a temporary basis and, if so, what were their names and duties?

2. Who is Lurch Pringle and what is his profession?

3. Did the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation receive any complaints about any of its temporary or full-time staff working at the convention and, if so, what was the nature of the complaints and what did the CBC do to investigate them?

Mr. Jack Burghardt (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Communications): I am informed by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation as follows:

1. CBLT employed 15 people on a temporary basis as pollsters, technical personnel, a director and cable watchers. Additionally, there were six on-air guest commentators.

With regard to the names of other personnel, it has not been customary to require the CBC to provide such details of its

internal management and administration as the names of personnel involved in individual program projects. The background to this custom is explained in the reply to question No. 2,530, answered November 6, 1975.

2. Howard Pringle is a freelance security man widely experienced in such work in the Toronto entertainment industry.

3. CBC received no complaints. It was informed that a complaint was made to the Ontario Liberal Party on behalf of television station CFTO-TV regarding CBC's engagement of four cable watchers. CBC was not informed of the wording of the complaint but understands that officials of the party investigated and concluded that it was not a valid complaint. It should be noted that the hiring of cable watchers is normal practice in the television industry whenever crowded convention floor situations arise.

LOCKHEED L1011 TRISTAR AIRCRAFT

Question No. 4,187—Mr. Baker (Nepean-Carleton):

1. Is the Minister and the Department of Transport aware of any problems encountered with the Lockheed L1011 Tristar aircraft?

2. Shortly after the takeoff of an Air Canada Tristar from Miami on March 14, 1982, did one engine overheat because of a lack of oil and partially disintegrate, forcing the aircraft to return to Miami?

3. Were other complaints of this nature made to the Department and, if so, how many?

4. Were investigations undertaken by the Department with regard to this problem and, if so, what were they?

5. Is the Department taking precautions to prevent future dangerous incidents of this sort and, if so, what are they?

6. Were directives issued by the Department, Air Canada or any other public authority on this matter and, if so, what were they?

7. Were consultations made with the FAA and other American agencies and departments with reference to this problem and, if so, what were they?

8. Was related correspondence received by the Department and, if so (a) what was it (b) would the Minister table all such correspondence as well as any reports or studies the Department initiated or received with regard to the safety of the Lockheed L1011 Tristar?

Mr. Jesse P. Flis (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport): 1. The Department of Transport is not aware of any problems with the Lockheed L1011 that compromise safety.

2. No. The aircraft in question suffered a mechanical failure in one of its wing mounted engines. Following the failure, prompted by increased vibration and rising gas temperatures within the engine, the crew followed mandatory procedures and shut down the engine concerned. The engine was at no time in imminent danger of disintegration. Following the engine shut down, the crew landed the aircraft using standard emergency procedures.

3. No complaints of Rolls Royce RB 211 engine failures have been received by the Department.

4. The Department received a telephone report from Air Canada and Rolls Royce which indicated that reports will be