

Type, No. 11415, U. S. National Museum.

Allied to *purpurifascia*, but distinguished by the straightness and confluence of the inner spots and the median shading. Less closely allied to *Harrisii*, Grt. All the specimens were labelled "*purpurifascia*," Mr. Schaus's specimen being marked "*purpurifascia*, Grt., comp. B. M.," but these species are so much alike that any comparison might easily err, and I prefer to retain Mr. Bird's identification of *purpurifascia*, which I think is correct.

*Chabuata rectinubila*, n. sp.—♀. Head and thorax brown, very thickly irrorated with white; abdomen gray-brown, irrorated with gray. Fore wing dull brown, very thickly irrorated with white; faint traces of the antemedial line; reniform indicated by a faint pale discoloration; postmedial line very faint, bent outwards below costa, excurved to vein 4, then incurved, faint traces of the subterminal line, preceded by an oblique straight pale shade from costa before apex to inner margin before tornus. Hind wing brownish shaded, the veins darker, the marginal areas broadly suffused with brown; the under side white, irrorated with brown, a discoidal point and indistinct curved postmedial line.

♂.—Similar to the female. Anal tuft somewhat ochreous.

Eight specimens, Orizaba, Mexico (collection of Wm. Schaus).

Type, No. 11318, U. S. National Museum.

Allied to *C. mutina*, Schaus, with which it was confused in the Schaus collection.

### THIRD SUPPLEMENT TO THE "CATALOGUE OF APHIDÆ."

BY G. W. KIRKALDY, HONOLULU, H. ISL.

In enumerating the synonyms of *Chaitophorus* (CAN. ENT., XXXVII, 1905, p. 417), I was able to give seven, of which, however, five had been inaccessible to me. I am in no better plight now, except that apparently accurate references to some of these are given in a paper by Ritsema (cf. A. M. N. H. (4), VI, 93, 1870). The following are probably correct:

||† *Phyllophorus*, Thornton, 1852, Proc. E. S. London,

N. S., II, 78.....t. *testudinatus*.

||† *Chelymorphe*, Lane Clarke, 1858, Objects for the

Microscope.....(London), p. ? t. *phyllophora*.

The correct citation of *Rhizaphis* (CAN. ENT., XXXVIII, 1906, p. 10) is apparently "Planchon, 1867, C. R. Paris, xlvii, 588, t. *vastatrix*."

March, 1908