Political and General Miscellany.

LETTER OF FRANCIS P. BLAIR, ESQ.

· TO THE REPUBLICAN ASSOCIATION OF WASHINGTON. Silver Springs, (Md.,) Dec. 1, 1855.

GENTLEMEN: Having relinquished political employment, and, to avoid encountering again its anxieties, addicted myself to country life, I am constrained to decline your invitation to join the Republican Assoimportant movements which have engaged the public mind since the Revolution.

of the Confederacy, on which the welfare of the whole country reposes. Every conquest of this element of discord, which has so often threatened the ment of discord, which has so often threatened the country reposes. would prove fatal to their prosperity; but the greatest ment of discord, which has so often threatened the dissolution of the Union, increases the danger. Every surrender of the Free States invites invasion.

promote may well draw to its support men of all principle, to unsettle the fixed policy of the nation, parties. Differences on questions of policy, of con- beginning with the era of the Declaration of Indestitutional construction, of modes of administration, pendence; and he applied it alike to the Comprommay well be merged, to unite men who believe that ises of 1820 and 1850. Mr. Douglas thus sums up nothing but concert of action on the part of those would exceed the grant of the position taken, and the result: who would arrest the spread of slavery, can resist the power of the combination now embodied to make in New Mexico and Utah, it is a disputed point whether it embrace the Continent from ocean to ocean.

gives Congress the power to make regulations respect-prescribing and regulating the domestic institutions ing the Territories of the United States. Yet noth- of the various Territories of the Union. ing is clearer in the history of our Government than opinion of those eminent statesmen who hold that that this phrase, giving power to congress 'to make Congress is invested with no rightful authority to regulations respecting the Territories, was meant to legislate upon the subject of slavery in the Territorgive it the power to exclude slavery from them.

tude in any of the States laid off in the Western sustains the doctrine that the Constitution of the Territory, was subsequently renewed in the Congress United States secures to every citizen an inalienable of 1785, which added, that 'this regulation shall be right to move into any of the Territories with his an article of compact'; and it was so voted unani-property, of whatever kind or description, and to mously by the delegates of eight States out of hold and enjoy the same under the sanction of law. twelve.

stitution, and that Constitution gave Congress the fearful struggle of 1850 . power 'to make regulations respecting the Territories,' and, moreover, affirmed the validity of 'the en- and 1850 involved, the question of the validity of gagements entered into before the adoption of the the law of Mexico excluding slavery from the Constitution' by the Confederation—one of which newly-ceded Mexican Territory, and the law of engagements was that made by the regulation exclusion over Congress excluding it from that north of the ding slavery from the Territories. Thus the Congress line of 36 deg. 30'. Mr. Douglas's Committee Report of the Confederation and the Convention framing the recommended that, as Constitution united in giving a double sanction to

The first exerted the power of enacting Mr. Jefferson's interdict of slavery in the Territories then held by the United States, to which it had previously given an impressive sanction by adding, this regulation erty in the Territories, so your Committee are not shull be an article of compact, &c.; and the Conprepared now to recommend a departure from the

under the Confederation, by declaring it 'v did,' end employed the same terms, 'regulation of the Terri-tories,' to transmit the power here exerted to future Congresses. In the face of this history, and the letter of the Constitution granting the power to make whatever regulations it deemed fit respecting the Territories of the United States, the authors of the Kansas and Nebraska bill deny the constitutionality of the regulations which exclude slavery from the Territories, and set at nought all the precedents that confirm them, which have followed in uninterrupted succession, from the foundation of the government.

ciation of Washington City, although tempted by the honor of becoming its presiding officer. Yet I feel it Congress to pass laws to prevent the 'migration or my duty to say, that in the main, I concur in the aims importation' of slaves after 1808, shows the fixed of the Association. To exclude slavery from the purpose of the founders of our Union to limit the Territories of the United States, and to rebuke the increase of this evil. The consequence was an inviolation of the Compromises, which were made to hibition, which prevents a South Carolina planter, purpose of the founders of our Union to limit the stand as covenants between the Slave and Free States who has slaves in Cuba, from bringing them to his to effect that exclusion, are, in my opinion, the most home plantation; and to remove this obstruction to the increase of slavery within the Union, and open Africa to supply the demand made by the new act, The extension of slavery over the new territories the Northern nullifiers are already called on by their Southern allies to lend their aid; and certainly those property, of whatever kind or description,' the Constitution and Compromises notwithstanding, can hardly refuse it. It was on the annexation of the The cause which your organization is intended to Mexican Territories that Mr. Calhoun asserted this

'Under this section, as in the case of the Mexican law slavery is prohibited in the Nebraska country by valid The repealing clause in the Kansas Bill is predicted enactment. The decision of this question involves on the uullity of the clause in the Constitution which the constitutional power of Congress to pass laws ies, the eighth section of the act preparatory to the Mr. Jesserson's resolution of 1785, declaring that admission of Missouri is null and void, while the there shall be neither slavery nor involuntary serviprevailing sentiment in a large portion of the Union Your Committee do not feel themselves called upon It was passed by the unanimous votes of all the to enter into discussion of these controverted ques-States by the Congress of 1787, which sat contemtions. They involve the same grave issues which poraneously with the Convention forming the Con-produced the agitation, the sectional strife, and the

From this it appears that the Compromises of 1820

'Congress deemed it wise and prudent to refrain from deciding the matter in controversy then either by affirming or repealing the Mexican laws, or by an act

vention guaranteed this 'engagement,' entered into course pursued on that memorable occasion, either