

Reciprocity not a Dead Issue.  
(Toronto Globe.)  
Canada prospered so greatly while the

reciprocity Treaty with the United States. In fact, it is generally held that at a fair exchange of free trade for free trade there would be of immense advantage to both countries, that all parties in Canada have been convincing themselves favorable to the treaty, and that the strongest arguments employed to reconcile the people to the heavy taxation imposed by the tariff of 1879 was that by taxing the goods of the United States so heavily, a reciprocity treaty would be more to their advantage. To satisfy the people who desired reciprocity, and to prove the sincerity of the Government, the Government asked the Governor in Council to recommend to the British Empire certain imposts whenever reciprocity was agreed upon with the United States. One member of the Governor in Council, the Hon. Mr. Thompson free trader, and consented to the tariff because he believed it to be the means of obtaining the reciprocity treaty. He said that he was not one of all those professions and protestations which are always doubted by many.

[illegible]

such a change. Our farmers receive higher prices for their barley, or oats, or peas, or corn, or other products. And the North-West has been developed. Further development will increase the price of any article that we have to sell, but will have, rather, the contrary effect, of making the price of the products of the farmer, would at once give them a better market and higher prices for many things. It would prove advantageous to the farmer, and to the manufacturer, and to the manufacturer except in so far as it would give their customers more money to spend, unless our manufacturers were willing to strain out boldly and be placed on a level with the food of the United States to manufacture in both countries. Reciprocity would not retard but would hasten the growth of the North-West, and add to its prosperity. Indeed, it would be a part of Dominion that must be made properly by a fair reciprocity treaty than the North-West is only one thoroughly imbued with Tor-

treaty with the United States would be  
 to diminish the trade of the old Province  
 and to increase that of the new. The  
 which bind the Canada east of Lake Su-  
 perior to the Canada west of that great  
 Lake.  
 It is fully to argue as if reciprocity  
 during the North West as much as we now  
 do, or would have the effect of substituting  
 the interests of one another. Where the  
 officers say:—  
 "In some respects reciprocity would be  
 beneficial to the central and easterly  
 portions of the Dominion. But it is a  
 question as to what reciprocal relation-  
 ship would be better than the trade which  
 comes in increasing volume with the  
 opening up and settlement of the North  
 West, than the public sentiment of the  
 West, and the feeling of the Dominion  
 near to the idea of a well-developed  
 trade preserved by the present well-deve-  
 loped home trade preserved by the present  
 rather than to the proposal for a new

any treaty arrangements which might result in weakening the ties which bind the lands east of Lake Superior with Canada west of that great lake."

"One can only guess what it means. I can not reasonably hope that the east and west are so far apart as to be unable to get on in weakening the ties which bind them. They existed only for the benefit of some persons in the centre. The surest expedient way of weakening the ties which now bind the Provinces is to treat them as if they were not there. We wish the *Citizen* would explain what effect it thinks reciprocity with the United States would have on the relations between the east and west. Would it be superior, or how it would retard the growth of Winnipeg. All parties must reckon that just as soon as they feel that the east and west are no longer bound, they will refuse to be the tributaries of the Eastern Provinces in any way or submit to anything that looks like a injustice."

[illegible]

have contended throughout, viz, that while the protective tariff is a burden on all in the State, except the privileged few who are endowed with legal and political power, and who control the earnings of their neighbors, it is particularly onerous and unjust to the working classes and the tax upon breadstuffs is one that can be most easily defended on the basis of taxation. It is accepted as an axiom among political economists that to give the producer his food free of duty is to deprive him of the means of his own support in the form of protection that can be devised by the State. The statute which, who will live in history as the greatest bungler that ever undertook a tariff, gives the farmer no more than a trifling rate of duty and punishes him on his food. Bread pays tribute to treasure, and brimstone comes in from the grumblers at extra tax imposed upon the protection that he can buy his rat poison and his rat.

Verily they are great statesmen and profound who evolved the National

There are 963,068 Catholics in India. There are sixteen Catholic bishops of German birth in the United States.